# UNCLASSIFIED AD 433829

#### DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

## COATING and CHEMICAL CO LABORATORY





CCL REPORT NO. 156

THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPROVED COOLING SYSTEM CORROSION INHIBITOR

BY



JAMES H. CONLEY

AMCMS CODE NO. 5025.11.803 DA PROJECT 1-A-0-24401-A-109

10 FEBRUARY 1964



### ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MARYLAND

DESTROY; DO NOT RETURN

#### DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Documentation Center, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia.

Copies Available at Office of Technical Services,

\$ 0.75

THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Page No.
TITLE PAGE	iï
ABSTRACT	111
INTRODUCTION	1
DETAILS OF TEST	1 - 2
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	2 - 3
RECOMMENDATIONS	3
REFERENCES	3
APPENDICES	4
APPENDIX A	5
Composition	6
APPENDIX B	7
Tables	8 - 21
OLCTP IDICTION LIST	22 22

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Report No	CCL#	156	
Copy Number			

#### THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPROVED COOLING SYSTEM CORROSION INHIBITOR

Ву

James H. Conley

10 February 1964

AMCMS Code No. 5025.11.803

Dept of the Army Project No. I-A-0-24401-A-109

U.S. Army Coating and Chemical Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground Maryland

UNCLASSIFIED

AUTHOR: James H. Conley

Automotive Chemical Branch

REVIEWED BY: Nigil O. Hatch

Automotive Chemical Branch

APPROVED BY: OF

C. F. PICKETT, Technical Director

U.S. Army Coating and Chemical Laboratory

#### **ABSTRACT**

The object of this study was to develop an improved inhibitor combination for use in water and ethylene glycol type antifreeze mixtures.

Bench corrosion tests were used for initial screening. The more promising coolant and inhibitor combinations were then evaluated in simulated service tests. One combination was chosen for yehicle field tests.

An improved inhibitor was found which consists of borax, mercaptobenzo-thiazole and disodium phosphate.

It is recommended that the results of this study be used as a basis for the revision of Federal Specification 0-1-490.

#### INTRODUCTION

٠.

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, was directed by AMC program directive AMCMS Code 5025.11.803, dated 15 October 1962, to investigate improved antifreeze mixtures.

Due to the automotive industry's trend toward higher horsepower engines which produce more heat, and the introduction of aluminum engine components, there is a need for improved antifreeze coolants which have improved corrosion and thermal stability. In the event that these types of vehicles should enter the military supply system, it was considered advantageous to modify Federal Specification 0-1-490, Inhibitor, Corrosion Liquid Cooling System. Previous tests (CCL Reports No. 125, 145 and 155) indicated that when aluminum components were used in conjunction with other metals, current specification inhibitors did not always offer adequate corrosion protection after extended use. Increased amounts of mercaptobenzothiazole (MBT) are necessary.

Problems exist when antifreeze compounds containing mercaptobenzothiazole are packaged. Therefore, the present study was directed toward improving Federal Specification 0-1-490, Corrosion Inhibitor for use as an additive to water and ethylene glycol type antifreeze mixtures rather than changing Federal Specification 0-A-548a, Type I and Military Specification MIL-C-11755A. No packaging problems are involved with the improved inhibitor.

#### II. DETAILS OF TEST

#### A. Bench Corrosion Test

Bench corrosion tests were conducted in accordance with the procedure outlined in LSD Report No. 205, dated 26 February 1964. This procedure involves the immersion of a set of six metal test specimens (cast iron, aluminum, copper, solder, brass, steel) in a glass flask containing the test solution. The solution is aerated and refluxed at 180°F. for 192 hours, after which the metal test specimens are examined for evidence and extent of corrosion.

#### B. Simulated Service Test

This test involves variations of the test outlined in LSD Report No. 205, dated 26 February 1954. The test consists of mechanical units arranged to permit the test solution to be circulated in a closed system at a controlled circulation rate and temperature.

In this study the unit contained an aluminum, steel or cast iron reservoir, or block and aluminum or brass radiator. The pump was driven by an electric motor. Test temperature was maintained at  $180^{\circ}$ F.  $\pm$   $5^{\circ}$ F.

Metal test specimens arranged on a rod or bracket and suspended in the reservoir were examined for evidence and extent of corrosion.

#### C. Vehicle Field Tests

Two test vehicles and two facility vehicles were selected for field evaluation of one inhibitor and coolant combination. The cooling systems were

drained and flushed. All unserviceable parts were replaced. The cooling systems were then filled with the test solutions. Periodic physical and chemical checks were made to locate any leaks in the cooling system and determine amount of inhibitor depletion.

#### D. Test Solution

Water, aqueous solutions of Specification 0-A-548a Antifreeze, and Specification MIL-C-1755A Arctic Antifreeze were tested with additional inhibitors. Aqueous solutions utilized were distilled water, ASTM Corrosive Water containing 100 ppm each of chloride, sulfate and bicarbonate, and a synthetic hard water containing 342 ppm as calcium carbonate.

#### E. Numerical Rating System

A numerical rating system has been devised (LSD Report No. 205) which allows a comparison of test results based on weight loss and visual evidence of corrosion of the metal test specimens. An arbitrary value of 21 was selected as the point of demarkation between satisfactory and unsatisfactory results in the bench corrosion test and a value of 35 was chosen as the point of demarkation in the simulated service test.

#### III. RESULTS OF TESTS

Results of tests are listed in Tables I thru III of Appendix B. All solutions performed satisfactorily in the bench corrosion tests (Table I). The modified 0-1-490 which contains borax, mercaptobenzothiazole, and disodium phosphate (see Appendix A), lessens the weight loss on aluminum in almost every instance (Table I). The modified inhibitor also performs satisfactorily in water containing 100 ppm of Cl ,  $S0_{4}^{-}$  and HCO $_{3}^{-}$  or a synthetic hard water containing 342 ppm as CaCO $_{3}^{-}$  (Table I).

Simulated service tests show that aluminum weight losses are lessened by the addition of the modified inhibitor. Solder losses are increased in some instances (Table II), although no radiator failure occurred in 2000 hours of operation.

Vehicle field tests indicate no cooling system failures when the improved inhibitor is added as specified in Appendix A. In 34000 miles of operation in facility vehicles over a period of 13 months, neither solution loss nor inhibitor depletion were excessive (Table III).

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Previous studies showed that corrosion may be experienced in aluminum/cast iron systems after extended use. Tests conducted during this investigation indicated that the modification of 0-1-490 would provide improved aluminum protection without imparting serious corrosion problems to the other cooling system metals. The disodium phosphate is chiefly responsible for this improvement.

The mercaptobenzothiazole pacifies the metal surfaces. The increased amount would insure a large enough quantity to cover the entire exposed surface area in the cooling system.

Problems exist in the packaging of antifreezes containing mercaptobenzothiazole. Therefore, the inhibitor must be packaged separately and added in the quantities needed. The improved inhibitor described herein will be packaged dry in six ounce containers, in the same manner as the present 0-1-490 inhibitor.

Quantities of the inhibitor to be added to the coolant systems are based on the solubilities of borax in different coolant media. It would be the duty of using personnel to correlate the optimum amount of inhibitor to be added (10 oz. to 21 qts. of water) with inhibitor package size. This will depend on cooling system capacity and the amount of water present. The amount of water will be dictated by the freezing protection desired.

This inhibitor has exhibited improved performance in tests involving hard water and corrosive water. The combination of ingredients specified gave optimum results in tests conducted. Precipitates which form in these waters are not detrimental to the cooling system metals and do not physically interfere with cooling system operation.

The ingredients of this improved inhibitor are readily available and cost-wise would be in the same range as the presently specified inhibitor.

#### V. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended the Federal Specification 0-1-490, Inhibitor, Corrosion, Liquid Cooling System be revised to include the formulation developed herein.

#### VI. REFERENCES

- 1. Authority: AMC Program Directive, AMCMS Code 5025.11.803, dated 15 Oct 62.
- Federal Specification 0-1-490 Inhibitor, Corrosion Liquid Cooling System, dated 27 Nov 1957.
- Federal Specification 0-A-548a, Antifreeze, Ethylene Glycol, Inhibited, dated 30 Dec 1958.
- Military Specification MIL-C-11755A, Compound, Antifreeze, Arctic Type dated 17 July 1957.
- Laboratory Service Division Report No. 205 Development of a Suitable Laboratory Bench Corrosion Test for Antifreeze Compounds and Inhibitors, dated 26 February 1954.
- CCL Report No. 125 Compatibility of Coolants with Automotive Cooling Systems Containing Aluminum Components - 1st Report, dated 21 June 1962.
  - CCL Report No. 145 Final Report on Compatibility of Coolants with Automotive Cooling Systems Containing Aluminum Components, dated 14 June 1963.
  - 8. CCL Report No. 155 New Corrosion Inhibitors for Antifreezes, dated 16 January 1964.

APPENDICES

#### APPENDIX A

Improved Inhibitor Composition and Intended Use

#### IMPROVED INHIBITOR COMPOSITION AND INTENDED USE

70.0% Na<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>0<sub>7</sub>.10H<sub>2</sub>0

14.0% Mercaptobenzothiazole

16.0% Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>0

It is intended for use in water and Federal Specification 0-A-548a, Type i, antifreeze/water solutions at the optimum rate of 10 ounces of inhibitor to 21 quarts of water.

It is also intended for use in MIL-C-11755A at the optimum rate of 10 ounces of inhibitor to 21 quarts of solution as packaged.

APPENDIX B

Tables

TABLE I

	BENCH CORROSION	SCREENING TESTS		
Test No.	1	2	3	
Coolant	100% distilled water	100% distilled water	100% distilled water	
Added Inhibitor	1.5% 0-1-490	0.06% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.10% M.B.T. 1.70% borax	0.12% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.20% M.B.T. 1.00% borax	
pH Before	P= 0		8.92	
pH After			8.82	
RA Before			5.80	
RA After		and the lab	5.80	
Visual Inspection and wt. change mg/sq cm				
Solder	\$1. stain62	\$1. stain75	Stained13	
Steel	\$1. stain +.20	V. sl. stain .00	Stained +.01	
Aluminum	Severe pitting -8.01	Black -3.46	Black -1.20	
Cast Iron	\$1. stain +.20	V. sl. stain +. Ii	Stained +.01	
Brass	V. sl. stain +.19	OK +.04	\$1. stain +.05	
Copper	Med. stain +.13	V. sl. stain +.03	Stained +.02	
CCL Rating	25	23	19	

TABLE I

<b>-</b>	BENCH CORROSION SCRE		
Test No.	4	5	6
Coolant	100% synthetic hard water	100% ASTM corrosive water	100% MIL-C-11755A
Added Inhibitor	0.12% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.20% M.B.T. 1.00% borax	0.12% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.20% M.B.T. 1.00% borax	
pH Before	8.92	8.85	7-18
pH After	8.72	8.85	7.42
RA Before	. 5.90	5.90	7.90
RA After	5.50	5.90	7. 65
Visual Inspection and wt. change mg/sq cm			
Solder	Med. stain -1.73	\$1. stain47	\$1. pitting07
Steel	SI. stain +.02	\$1. stain +.03	\$1. stain01
Aluminum	Black -4.93	Black -2.69	Heavy pitting16
Cast Iron	\$1. stain +.03	\$1. stain +.03	\$1. rust05
Brass	\$1. stain +.02	Si. stain ⊀.09	\$1. stain02
Copper	\$1. stain +.02	SlMed. stain +.04	\$1. stain +.02
CCL Rating	25	22	13

TABLE I

	BENCH CORROSION SC	REENING TESTS (CONTINUE	(D)	
Test No.	7	8	9	
Coolant	100% MIL-C-11755B	100% MIL-C-11755A	100% MIL-C-11755A	
Added Inhibitor	0.06% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.10% M.B.T. 1.70% borax	0.024% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.04% M.B.T. 0.20% borax	0.036% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.06% M.B.T. 0.30% borax	
pH Before	7.40	7-10	7. 08	
pH After	7.20	7.15	7.08	
RA Before	17.40	8-30	8.80	
RA After	16 70	<b>8</b> -00	8.70	
Visual Inspection and wt. change mg/sq cm				
Solder	0K .00	OK -1.40	OK -1.40	
Steel	0K .00	Sl stain +.01	Stained +.01	
Aluminum	Pitted pt contact .00	ок .00	OK +.01	
Cast Iron	ок .00	\$1. stain05	Stained05	
Brass	0K .00	S1. stain +.01	V. sl. stain +.03	
Copper	V. si. stain .00	\$1. stain +.02	V. sl. stain +.02	
CCL Rating	8	16	16	

TABLE I

<del></del>	BENCH CORROSION SCRI	ENING TESTS (CONTINUED	)	
Test No.	10	11	12	
Coolant	100% MIL-C-11755A	100% MIL-C-11755A	50/50 <b>0-A-</b> 548a distilled water	
Added Inhibitor	0.048% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.08% M.B.T. 0.40% borax	0.12% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.20% M.B.T. 1.00% borax		
pH Bef <b>or</b> e	7.15	7.22	7.50	
pH After	7.05	7.10	7.48	
RA Before	9.50	13.80	7.00	
RA After	9.30	13.40	7.00	
Visual inspection and wt. change mg.sq cm				
Solder	OK +.59	OK 01	ок35	
Steel	Stained +.01.	OK .00	OK02	
Aluminum	OK01	OK 02	Black40	
Cast Iron	Stained10	OK 4.01	ок 13	
Brass	V. sl. stain +.01	V. sl. stain +.01	S1. stain01	
Copper	V. sl. stain ÷.02	V. sl. stain +.01	\$1. stain01	
CCL Rating	15	8	16	

TABLE I

	BENCH CORROSION SCRE	ENING TESTS (CONTINUE	0)
Test No.	13	14	15
Coolant	30/70 O-A-548a distilled water	50/50 <b>0-A-</b> 548a distilled water	30/70 O-A-548a distilled water
Added Inhibitor		Water contains 1.5% 0-1-490	Water contains 1.5% 0-1-490
pH Before	7.85	7.58	7.90
pH After	7.78	7.49	7.92
RA Before	4.50	11.05	10.50
RA After	4.76	11.05	10.10
Visual Inspection and wt. change mg/sq cm			
Solder	S1. stain .00	Si. pitting20	Pitted13
Steel	\$1. pitting30	\$1. stain07	Stain & pitted
Aluminum	Mod. pitting60	Green82	Black -1.40
Cast Iron	V. sl. pitting 50	\$1. stain +.05	Stain & pitted 02
Brass	V. sl. stain .00	\$1. stain +.07	\$1. stain +.09
Copper	Med. Stain .00	Sl. stain +.05	Heavy stain
CCL Rating	18	18	19

TABLE I

	BENCH C	ORROSION SCR	EENING TE	<u>sts (continu</u>	ED)	<del></del>	
Test No.		16		17		18	
Coolant		0-A-548a led water		0-A-548a led water		0-A-548a led water	
Added Inhibitor	0.06% 0.1 <b>0%</b> 1.70%	Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> M.B.T. borax	0.06%   0.10%   1.70%		0.06% 0.10% <b>0</b> .50%		
pH Before	7	.50		7.80		7.52	
pH After	7	.50	:	7.80		7.48	
RA Before	16.40		14.00		1	10.65	
RA After	16	.30	1;	3.20	1	0.60	
Visual Inspection and wt. change mg/sq cm							
Solder	OK	.00	ок	08	oĸ	- 402	
Stee!	0K	.00	ок	.00	oĸ	02	
Aluminum	Grey	05	Black	15	Grey	06	
Cast Iron	0K	.00	ок	.00	ок	03	
Brass	0К	.00	V. sl. +.08	stain	V. sl. •00	stain	
Copper	ΟK	+.02	V. s1.	stain	V. sl. 01	stain	
CCL Rating		7		10	!	9	

TABLE I

	BENCH CORROSION SCRE	ENING TESTS (CONTINUED)	
Test No.	19	20	21
Coolant	30/70 <b>0-A-548a</b> distilled water	50/50 <b>0-A-</b> 548a hard water (342 ppm as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	30,70 0-A-548a hard water (342 ppm as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )
Added Inhibitor	0.084% Na <sub>2</sub> HP <b>O</b> 4 0.14% M.B.T. 0.70% borax	0.06% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.10% M.B.T. 0.50% borax	0.084% Na <sub>2</sub> HP04 0.14% M.B.T. 0.70% borax
pH Before	7.82	7.45	7.75
pH <b>After</b>	7.78	7.45	7.75
RA Before	8.75	10.40	8.50
RA After	8.70	10.00	8.50
Visual Inspection and wt. change mg/sq cm			
Solder	ok .00	OK08	0K04
Steel	ок .00	OK + - 01	OK +.01
Aluminum	Grey06	Grey01	Grey05
Cast Iron	OK 13	V. sl. stain ~.07	V. sl. stain 03
Brass	V. sl. stain +.01	V. sl. stain 01	Si. stain17
Copper	V. sl. stain +.01	Si. stain +.01	\$1. stain +.01
CCL Rating	10	10	11

TABLE I

	BENCH CO	RROSION SCREE	NING TEST	S (CONTINUED)		
Test No.	2	2	23		2	24
Coolant		-A-548a ed water	50/50 0- distille			)-A-548a ed water
Added Inhibitor	0.06% N water c 1.5% 0-	on ta i ns	1.3% 0-1 0.7% M.B	-	1.3% 0- 0.20% M	
pH Before	7.	52	7.4	0	7.	20
pH After	7.	48	7-4	0	7.	20
RA Before	11.	50	15.1		15.	5
RA After	11.	30				-
Visual inspection and wt. change mg/sq cm						
Solder	OK	+.02	OK	09	ОК	.00
Steel	ОК	÷.01	ОК	. 00	OK	.00
Aluminum	Grey	01	Black	<b>-</b> .79	Black	60
Cast Iron	\$1. stai	n01	S1. stai	n +.15	\$1. stai	n +.25
Brass	S1. stai	n +.02	ок	+.06	Sl. stai	n +.05
Copper	\$1.stai	n +.02	S1. stain	05	Slestai	n .00
CCL Rating		10	14		ı	5

TABLE II

<del></del>	SIMULATED SERV	ICE TEST	
Metal Components	Cast Iron Res	ervoir and Brass Rad	lator
Test Number	1	2	3
Coolant	100% distilled water	100% distilled water	50/50 O-A-548a distilled water
Added Inhibitor	1.5% 0-1-490	0.12% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.20% MBT 1.00% borax	
Total Hours of Operation	2000	2008	<b>*1</b> 475
pH Before	9.18	8.82	
pH After	8.90	8.82	
RA Before	13.30	6.20	
RA After	8.50	5.70	
Visual Inspection and wt. change mg/sq cm			
<b>\$older</b>	Heavy stain ~10.17	Heavy stain70	V. sl. pitting 44
Steel	Slight stain06	Slight stain .00	V. sl. stain 27
Aluminum	*Pitted -19.72	*Pitted -34.99	Pitted -3.62
Cast Iron	Slight stain +.35	Slight stain +.40	Med. pitting -9.17
Brass	Slight stain +.05	Slight stain23	\$1 med. stain17
Copper	Heavy stain +.20	Heavy stain31	Med. stain 95
CCL Rating	29	31	39
%Remarks	Aluminum was badly pitted and the corrosion product was very porous	Aluminum was badly pitted but the surface was hard	Radiator deve- loped a leak after 1475 hrs. of operation

TABLE II

	SIMULATED SERVICE	TEST (CONTINUED)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Metal Components	Cast iron reser- voir aluminum radiator	Cast iron block aluminum radiator	Aluminum block brass & aluminum radiate
Test Number	4	5	6
Coolant	50,50 0-A-548a distilled water	50/50 O-A-548a distilled water	50/50 0-A-548a distilled water
Added Inhibitor	0.06% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.10% MBT 0.50% borax	0.06% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.10% MBT 0.50% borax	0.06% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.10% MBT 0.50% borax
Total Hours of Operation	2133	<b>*1846</b>	2007
pH Before	7.42	7.42	7 · 42
pH After	7.42	7.55	7.47
RA Before	10.70	10.90	10.90
RA After	9.50	9.50	10.40
Visual Inspection and wt, change mg/sq cm			
Solder	\$1. pitting on edge -2.15	Grey -1.00	Stained -1.31
Stee 1	OK +.02	Med. stain07	Stained, spotted
Aluminum	Black09	Black04	Black04
Cast Iron	\$1. stain +.09	Mod. stain +.05	Stained, spotted
Brass	\$1. stain04	SI. stain04	Mod. stain +.01
Copper	V. sl. stain +.02	Mod heavy stain +.02	Heavy stain +.21
CCL Rating	17	17	18
Remarks		<pre>%Heaters burned out test was discontinued.</pre>	

TABLE 11

<u> </u>	SIMULATED SERVICE T	EST (CONTINUED)	
Metal Components	Aluminum reservoir brass radiator	Cast Iron pot - bro	ass radiator
Test Number	7	8	9
Coolant	50/50 O-A-548a distilled water	30/70 0-A-548a distilled water	30/70 <b>0-A-</b> 548a *syn. hard water
Added Inhibitor	0.06% Na <sub>2</sub> HP <b>O</b> 4 0.10% MBT 0.50% borax	0.084% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.14% MBT 0.70% borax	0.084% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.14% MBT 0.70% borax
Total Hours of Operation	2007	2000	2008
pm mefore	7.40	Ĭ·94	7.82
pH After	7.40	7.88	7.68
RA Before	10.40	8.80	8.60
RA After	9.50	8.50	8.10
Visual Inspection and wt. change mg/sq cm			
Solder	Sl. pitting on edge -1.06	0К01	V sl stain ~.19
Steel	ок ⊹. сз	<b>OK</b> +.01	<b>OK</b> 02
Aluminum	Grey +.03	Grey-pitted -17.00	Black -1.11
Cast Iron	\$1. stain +.13	\$1. rusting +.07	OK +.06
Brass	\$1. stain +.05	\$1. stain +.03	\$1. stain02
Copper	\$1. stain +.08	\$1. stain +.32	\$1mod. stain +.02
CCL Rating	17	19	17
Remarks			%342 ppm as CaCO <sub>3</sub>

TABLE II

SIMULATED SERVICE TEST (CONTINUED)			
Metal Components	Cast iron reservoir brass radiator	Steel pot - brass	radiator
Test Number	10	11	12
Coolant	30/70 0-A-548a *ASTM corrosive water	30/70 O-A-548a distilled water	50/50 0-A-548a distilled water
Added Inhibitor	0.084% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.14% MBT 0.70% borax	Water contains 1.5% 0-1-490	Water contains 1.5% 0-1-490
Total Hours of Operation	2013	2021	2002
pH Before	7.82	7.92	7.55
pH After	7.78	7.82	7.55
RA Before	9.20	10.60	11.50
RA After	8.30	10.60	11.30
Visual Inspection and wt. change mg/s <b>q</b> cm			
Solder	Heavy stain -2.32	Herry stain15	0K30
Steel	Heavy stain09	Mod. stain03	<b>0K</b> 02
Aluminum	Black94	Yellow -10.31	Grey - green -6.17
Cast Iron	Mod. stain + 22	Mod. stain01	\$1. stain03
Brass	\$1. stain +.06	Mod. stain +.21	\$1. stain +.12
Copper	Heavy stain +.09	Heavy stain +.25	Mod. stain +.10
CCL Rating	23	21	19
Remarks	*100 ppm of C1, \$04 and HC03		

TABLE III

#### FIELD TEST

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FIELD IEST	
Test Vehicles	5 ton truck M-54 509073	5 ton truck M-54 509075
Coolant	30/70 O-A-548a/distilled water	30/70 0-A-548a/distilled water
Added Inhibitor	0.084% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.14% MBT 0.70% borax	0.084% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.14% MBT 0.70% borax
pH Initial After 3 Weeks After 6 Weeks	7.68 7.60 7.62	7.68 7.62 7.72
RA Initial After 3 Weeks After 6 Weeks	9.45 9.20 9.00	9.20 9.00 7.30
Freezing Point Initial After 3 Weeks After 6 Weeks	-13°F -13°F -16°F	-12°F -12°F - 4°F
Mileage After 3 Weeks After 6 Weeks	4,857 10,482	5,098 9,300
Total Make-up Solution Added	None	*12 qts after 5 weeks
Remarks	Test discontinued after 6 weeks.	*Generator shaft broke in the field at 7,000 miles, the fan belt came off, radiator overheated and solution was lost. Test dis- continued after 6 weeks.

TABLE III

FIELD TEST	(CONTINUED)
------------	-------------

Facility Vehicles	1960 Ford 1G6510	1960 Ford 106512
Coolant	30/70 0-A-548a/distilled water	30/70 <b>0-A-</b> 548a/distilled water
Added Inhibitor	0.084% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 0.14% МВТ 0.70% borax	0.084% Na <sub>2</sub> HP <b>O</b> <sub>4</sub> 0.14% MBT 0.70% borax
pH Initial After 3 Months After 7 Months After 13 Months After 15 Months	7.60 7.52 7.42 7.38	7.52 7.45 7.50  7.50
RA initial After 3 Months After 7 Months After 13 Months After 15 Months	10.50 9.85 9.00 7.60	11.00 10.40 8.60  7.40
Freezing Point Initial After 3 Months After 7 Months After 13 Months After 15 Months	-15°F -24°F -23°F -22°F	-19°F -30°F -22°F  -11°F
Mileage After 3 Months After 7 Months After 13 Months After 15 Months	12,470 24,247 33,910	12,560 20,043  24,132
Total Make-up Solution Added	I qt. added at 13 months.	$1\frac{1}{2}$ qts added at 15 months
Remarks	Test to date performing satisfactorily. Test continuing.	Test to date performing satisfactorily. Test continuing.

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR AMCMS CODE NO. 5025.11.803

Department of Defense	No. of Copies
Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	20
Department of the Army - Technical Service	
Commanding General U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-RC Washington, D. C. 20315	1
Continental Army Command Department of the Army Fort Monroe, Virginia 23351	3
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Center ATTN: Mr. J. P. Jones Mr. V. Pogano Warren, Michigan 48090	1
Commanding Officer Frankford Arsenal ATTN: SMUFA 1320 Library Philadelphia, Pa. 19137	1
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Materials Research Agency Watertown Arsenal ATTN: Technical Information Center Watertown, Mass. 02172	2
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Test Activity Yuma Test Activity Yuma, Arizona 85364	1
Commanding General U.S. Army Weapons Command ATTN: ANSWE-RDR Rock Island, Illinois 61200	2
Commanding Officer Rock Island Arsenal ATTN: Laboratory 9320 Rock Island, Illinois	1

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST CONTINUED

	No. of Coples
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories ATTN: Mr. W. C. Pless Mr. R. Elchelberger Mr. J. Sperrazza Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	1 1 1
Technical Library Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	2
Department of the Navy	
Department of the Navy c/o Navy Liaison Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	1
Department of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C.	1
Other Government Agencies	
Scientific and Technical Information Facility ATTN: NASA Representative (S-AK/DL) P. 0. Box 5700 Bethesda, Maryland 20014	3
Office of Technical Services Acquisitions Section Department of Commerce Washington, D. C.	200
Foreign Address	
Ministry of Supply Staff British Joint Services Mission 1800 K Street, N. W. Washington 6, D. C.	2
Canadian Army Staff ATTN: GSO-1, A&R Section 2450 Massachusetts Avenue, N. W. Washington 8, D. C.	2

AD NO  U.S. Army Coating & Chemical Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md., CCL # 156  THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPROVED COOLING SYSTEM CORROSION INHIBITOR - James H., CONIEY - Rpt No. 156 - 10 February 1964- 26 pgs - AMCMS Code No. 5025.11.803 - DA Proj No 1-A-0-24401-A-109  The object of this study was to develop an improved inhibitor combination for use in water and ethylene glycol type antifreeze mixtures.  Bench corrosion tests were used for initial screening. The more promising coolant and inhibitor combinations were then evaluated in simulated service tests.	Accession No. J.S. Army Coating & Chemical Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md., CCL # 156-17E EVELOPMENT OF AN IMPROVED COOLING SYSTEM CORROSION INHIBITOR - James H. Conley - Rpt No. 156 - 10 February 1964-25 pgs - AMCMS Code No. 5025.11.803 - DA Proj No 1-A-0-24401-A-109  The object of this study was to develop an improved inhibitor combination for use in water and ethylene glycol type antifreeze mixtures.  Bench corrosion tests were used for initial screening. The more promising coolant and inhibitor combinations were then evaluated in simulated service tests.
Unclassified	Unclassified
Unclassified	Unc:ass:fied
AD NO  U.S. Army Coating & Chemical Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md., CCL # 156  THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPROVED COOLING SYSTEM CORROSION INHIBITOR - James H. Conley - Rpt No. 156 - 10 February 1964 26 pgs - AMCMS Code No. 5025.11.803 - DA Proj No 1-A-0-24401-A-109  The object of this study was to develop an improved inhibitor combination for use in water and ethylene glycol type antifreeze mixtures.  Bench corrosion tests were used for initial screening. The more promising coolant and inhibitor combinations were then evaluated in simulated service tests	AD No  U.S. Army Coating & Chemical Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md., CCL # 156- THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPROVED COOLING SYSTEM CORROSION INHIBITOR - James B. Conley - Rpt No. 156 - 10 February 1964- 26 pgs - AMCMS Code No. 5025.11.803 - DA Proj No 1-A-0-24401-A-109  The object of this study was to develop an improved inhibitor combination for use in water and ethylene glycol type antifreeze mixtures.  Bench corrosion tests were used for initial screening. The more promising coolant and inhibitor combinations were then evaluated in simulated service tests.

Unclassified  One combination was chosen for vehicle field tests.  An improved inhibitor was found which consists of borax, mercaptobenzothiazole and disodium phosphate.  It is recommended that the results of this study be used as a basis for the revision of Federal Specification  O-1-490.	Unclassified  One combination was chosen for vehicle field tests.  An improved inhibitor was found which consists of borax, mercaptobenzothiazole and disodium phosphate.  It is recommended that the results of this study be used as a basis for the revision of Federal Specification  0-1-490.
Unclassified  One combination was chosen for vehicle field tests.  An improved inhibitor was found which consists of borax, mercaptobenzothiazole and disodium phosphate.  It is recommended that the results of this study be used as a basis for the revision of Federal Specification  O-1-490.	Unclassified  One combination was chosen for vehicle field tests.  An improved inhibitor was found which consists of borax, mercaptobenzothiazole and disodium phosphate.  It is recommended that the results of this study be used as a basis for the revision of Federal Specification  O-1-490.

1000mm のでは、100mm のでは、100mm では、100mm では、100mm できます。 100mm できません 1

Pale to the sta